



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश (असाधारण)

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्यशासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

शिमला, शुक्रवार, 31 जुलाई, 1964/9 श्रावण, 1886

GOVERNMENT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH FINANCE DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION

Simla-4, 28th July, 1964/6th Sravana, 1886

No. 24/6/64-Fin-R&E.—Notification No. F. 13/5/64-GC. II., dated 4th July, 1964 issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue and Company Law containing the Defence of India (Ninth Amendment) Rules, 1964 published in part II, section 3, sub-section (1) of the Gazette of India Extraordinary, dated 4th July, 1964 is republished in the Himachal Pradesh Government Gazette, for the information of the general public.

S. C. BHATNAGAR,
Finance Secretary-cum-Gold Control Officer.

No. F. 13/5/64-GC. II GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF FINANCE (Department of Revenue and Company Law) NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 4th July, 1964

THE DEFENCE OF INDIA (NINTH AMENDMENT) RULES, 1964-
GSR. In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the
Defence of India Act, 1962 (51 of 1962), the Central Government

hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Defence of India Rules, 1962 (published with the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs No. G.S.R. 1465, dated the 5th November, 1962) namely:—

1. These rules may be called the Defence of India (Ninth Amendment) Rules, 1964.

2. In the Defence of India Rules, 1962 (hereinafter referred to as the said rules), in sub-rule (2) of rule 126B, for the words “unless such dealer, refiner or other person is authorised by the Administrator, by general or special order, to make or manufacture such article,” the following shall be substituted, namely:—

“unless such dealer, refiner or other person is, on an application made by him in this behalf (which shall be accompanied by such fee as the Administrator may, in any class of cases, by directions specify), authorised by the Administrator, by general or special order, to make or manufacture such article; and in granting such authorisation the Administrator may by the order aforesaid also require any class of such dealers, refiners or other persons to pay such charges of supervision as may be specified in such order.”

3. In rule 126L of the said rules,—

(i) in sub-rule (16), after clause (a), the following clause shall be inserted, namely:—

(aa) where the penalty proposed to be imposed does not exceed ten thousand rupees, by an officer not below the rank of Deputy Collector of Customs or Central Excise.”

(ii) after sub-rule (16), the following shall be inserted, namely:—

“Explanation.—Any reference to gold in this rule and in rule 126M shall, unless the context otherwise requires, include any article of gold referred to in sub-rule (2) of rule 126B.”

4. In sub-rule (2) of rule 126M of the said rules,—

(i) in clauses (a) and (b), the words “authorised by the Central Government” shall be omitted;

(ii) after clause (a), the following clause shall be inserted, namely:—

“(aa) where the value of gold, together with the package, covering or receptacle, if any, in which it is found liable to confiscation, does not exceed ten thousand rupees, by an officer not below the rank of Deputy Collector of Customs or Central Excise.”